

**Appln No. 10/066,982**  
**Amdt date May 11, 2006**  
**Reply to Office action of March 21, 2006**


**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

Claims 34-41, 47, 49-61 were pending in the application. In the Office action mailed March 21, 2006, claims 34-37 and 49-61 were rejected, claims 38-41 were objected to as depending upon a rejected base claim, and claim 47 was allowed. The Examiner is thanked for attention to the application.

Rejected claims 34-37 and 49-61 are now canceled. Objected to claim 38 has been rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims, namely base claim 34 and intervening claim 35. Claim 39 depends on claim 38. Objected to claim 40 has been rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim, namely claim 34. Claim 41 depends on claim 40.

Accordingly, allowable claims 38-41 and 47 remain in the application, and allowance of the application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,  
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP

By   
Daniel M. Cavanagh  
Reg. No. 41,661  
626/795-9900

DMC/rmw

RMW IRV1096310.1-\* -05/11/06 10:36 AM